



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 *ED*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/104,752 06/25/98 SCHAER A 22963-1270

QM32/1016

EDWARD J LYNCH
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE
525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE
PALO ALTO CA 94301-1900

EXAMINER

COHEN, L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3739

/0

DATE MAILED:

10/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/104,752

Applicant(s)
Schaer

Examiner
Lee S. Cohen

Group Art Unit
3739



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 6-29, and 31-39 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 7, 16, 17, 29, 32-34, and 36-39 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 2, 8-15, 18-28, 31, and 35 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.


- Claims 2, 8-15, 18-28, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 is redundant in its recitation of the temperature electrical conductors. Claim 8 is redundant in its recitation of the electrode being a coil. Claim 12 is vague as to which conductors are being referenced. Claim 13 fails to relate the conductors to those previously set forth. Claim 18 should dispose a metal band about each temperature sensor. Also, in claim 20, a metal band should be disposed about each temperature sensor. Claim 23, line 4 - the distal shaft section should --include-- the plurality of tubular electrodes.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 16, 17, 29, 32-34, and 36-39 are allowed.

Claims 2, 8-15, 18-28, 31 and 35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fleischman et al discloses a similar device with tubular electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.


Lee Cohen
Primary Examiner